



PDS Design Scoping Checklist

Project Information

District ____ County ____ Route ____ Kilometer Post (Post Mile) ____ EA ____

Description _____

Project Manager _____ Phone # _____

Project Engineer _____ Phone # _____

Design Functional Manager _____ Phone # _____

Project Development Coordinator _____ Phone # _____

(Instructions for filling out)

Describe and identify in the following sections a general description of all improvements anticipated as part of the project scope. Analyze the existing highway system and identify improvements necessary to solve the transportation problem. The design improvements should be discussed in sufficient detail to identify the project's major geometric features. Also discuss in detail any planned roadbed widths that are less than standard widths. Address roadside improvements. Discuss any design issues that may be controversial during development of the environmental document. Approval of the alternatives to be studied must be obtained from the Project Development Coordinator. This checklist is not to be considered all encompassing but to identify major aspects of the project. Checking the box means yes or maybe. If left unchecked it implies no, but does not preclude one from validating the impacts during the Project Report phase.

Project Screening

(Attach the project location map to this checklist to show location of all design improvements anticipated)

1. Project Description as Noted in Regional Transportation Plan: _____

2. Project Setting _____

Rural or Urban _____

Current land uses _____

Adjacent land uses _____

(industrial, light industry, commercial, agricultural, residential, etc.)

Existing landscaping/planting _____

3. Route Adoption: Date _____ Type of Facility (Freeway, Controlled Access Highway, or Conventional Highway) _____

Freeway Agreement: Date _____

Description of the Transportation Problem

Proposed Scope of Work

The following pages are to be used for each alternative provided that the scope is significantly different. Bear in mind that if a route has been adopted as a Freeway we may not necessarily be designing to those standards. We may design for Conventional Highway standards as a stop-gap. This needs to be identified in the scoping checklist. Under the Roadway Design Scoping section each block needs to be checked if an alternative has a listed feature or activity to be studied.

Design Criteria

Type of facility to be considered? (more than one may apply)

Freeway

Expressway

Conventional Highway

Urban Street

Other (specify) _____

Design Speed for highway facilities within the project limit? _____ km/hr

Design Period: Construction Year is? _____ Design Year is? _____

Design Capacity: Level of Service to be maintained over the design period is?

Mainline _____ Ramp _____ Local Street _____ Weaving Sections _____

Design Vehicle Selection?

STAA _____

California _____

Bus _____

Proposed Roadbed and Structure Widths

Forecasted Average Daily Traffic Volumes _____

Percent Truck Volume _____ %

	Roadbed Width			Structure Width		
	Existing / Proposed / Standard			Existing / Proposed / Standard		
State highway						
Lane Widths	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Left Shoulder	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Right Shoulder	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Median Width	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Bicycle Lane	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Local Street						
Lane Widths	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Left Shoulder	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Right Shoulder	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Median Width	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Bicycle Lane _____

Any proposed roadbed widths less than standard should be discussed with the Project Development Coordinator to determine if the proposed non-standard feature results in a feasible project alternative for further study during preparation of the environmental document.

Median Barrier Existing _____
Proposed (Concrete Barrier / Thrie Beam / Other) _____

Roadway Design Scoping

Mainline Operations

Mainline Highway Widening

Existing pavement to be rehabilitated with Asphalt Concrete / Rubberized AC / PCC.

Widen existing _____ lane facility to _____ lanes. R/W acquisition for _____ lanes.

Local street structures to span _____ lanes of highway (for future requirements).

Upgrade existing facility to:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Expressway Standards | <input type="checkbox"/> Freeway Standards |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Controlled Access Highway | <input type="checkbox"/> Traversable Highway |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Improve Vertical Clearance | <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate Falsework Clearance |

Ramp / Street Intersection Improvements

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> New Signals | <input type="checkbox"/> Modify Signals |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Right Turn Lanes | <input type="checkbox"/> Widening For Localized Through Lanes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Merging Lanes | <input type="checkbox"/> Deceleration / Acceleration Lanes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Left Turn Lanes | <input type="checkbox"/> > 300 VPH Left Turn (Requires Double Left Turn) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interchange Spacing | <input type="checkbox"/> Ramps Intersect Local Street < 4 % Grade |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Intersection Spacing | <input type="checkbox"/> Exit Ramps > 1,500 VPH Designed As Two Lane Exit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Single Lane Ramps Exceeding 300 M Widened To Two Lanes | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | |

Operational Improvements

Truck Climbing Lane

☐ Sustained Grade Exceeding 2% And Total Rise Exceeds 15 M.

☐ Other _____

Auxiliary Lanes

☐ When 600 M Between Successive On-Ramps.

☐ Two Lane Exit Ramps Have 400 M Auxiliary Lane.

☐ Weaving < 500 M between Off-Ramp and On-Ramp.

☐ Other _____

Right of Way Access Control

- ☐ Existing access control extends at least 15 m beyond end of curb return, radius or taper.
- ☐ New construction access control extends at least 30 m (urban areas) or 100 m (rural areas) beyond end of curb returns, radius or taper.
- ☐ Other _____

Highway Planting

- ☐ Replacement
- ☐ Median
- ☐ Mitigation

Safety

- ☐ Off-Freeway Access
- ☐ Maintenance Vehicle Pull-Out

Roadside Management

- ☐ Slope paving
- ☐ Gore paving
- ☐ Roadside paving

Stormwater

- ☐ Erosion control
- ☐ Drainage
- ☐ Slope design

Structures

- ☐ New Bridge
- ☐ Bridge Rehab
- ☐ Retaining Wall
- ☐ Other _____
- ☐ On STRAIN list for _____

Additional Studies

Identify additional studies that may be required including resources and schedules.

Preliminary Evaluation provided by:

Project Engineer _____ **Date** _____

Design Manager _____ **Date** _____

Design Concept approved by:

Project Development Coordinator _____ **Date** _____

Conceptual approval in no way implies that any non-standard features currently identified or identified in the future will be approved. Non-standard features will need to be identified, fully analyzed and justified prior to approval (via a design exception fact sheet) of the selected alternative.

Reviewed by:

Project Manager _____ **Date** _____